

**THE LIMNOLOGY AND ECOLOGY OF TWO
SMALL MAN-MADE RESERVOIRS
IN ZIMBABWE**

By

Tamuka Nhiwatiwa

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Philosophy

Department of Biological Sciences

University of Zimbabwe

Harare, Zimbabwe

July 2004

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the limnology of two small dams (< 5ha) built in series on Munwahuku river. Very little is known about the ecology of such small water bodies and the hydrological regime of these dams is that they undergo severe fluctuations in water levels. Rainfall is seasonal but water demand for irrigation and potable water persist throughout the year. The theoretical mean water residence time was 9 days for the Upper dam and 3.6 days for the Lower one while the water level in the Upper dam fluctuated more than the Lower one. Thermal stratification established during summer in the dams but was weak during winter. Stratification was poorly established during the main rainy season (Jan-April) and this could be the result of throughflows causing disruptions. Oxygen stratification patterns were similar to those of temperature and deoxygenation sometimes occurred in summer. Seasonal trends were related to changes in solar radiation income and the corresponding changes in air temperatures. The Lower dam was less stable during summer than the Upper one and this could largely be a result of short water residence time which influenced development of thermal stratification. Diurnal stratification of temperature and oxygen occurred in both dams during summer but was less established in winter. The shallow nature of small dams suggests that they are more vulnerable to external fluxes such as daily changes in temperature, wind runs and any other disturbances and hence the prevalence of diel stratification because of low stability. The water and aspects of sediment chemistry of the dams was investigated in relation to influence of the hydrological regime. The two small dams were not significantly different in water chemistry but their sediment chemistry showed some differences. Seasonal fluctuations in conductivity, TDS, TSS and Secchi disc transparency were strongly linked to the hydrological regime to the effects of the first rains while increases in BOD and COD suggested increased organic matter at low water levels. There were no dramatic seasonal variations in pH, alkalinity, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia and total nitrogen although the latter tended to be higher in the Upper dam. Short water residence time was attributed as the main factor resulting in small differences in the water quality of the two dams. In the Upper dam, sediment silt content was higher in the drawdown zone while clay was significantly higher in the permanently inundated zone. There were no major seasonal variations in nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon content in the zones but their values were consistently higher in the deeper zone. In contrast, clay, nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon content were significantly different between the two zones in the Lower dam and were higher in the drawdown zone except clay. The community structure of zooplankton and phytoplankton populations was studied. Zooplankton abundance was not very different between the two dams with peaks during the late dry season attributed to a sudden abundance of rotifers. A similar pattern was observed for phytoplankton abundance and biomass with peaks around October 2000 but generally higher abundance was measured in the bottom dam. There was a complete shift in the dominant zooplankton and phytoplankton taxa in both dams after October 2000. A major factor influencing plankton abundance was washout during the main rainy season whilst water transparency, suspended solids, water temperature were factors identified by CCA analysis as important for zooplankton community structure in both dams. CCA analysis revealed that physico-chemical variables were not significant in determining phytoplankton communities in both dams perhaps superseded by hydrological processes. The effects of grazing on phytoplankton by zooplankton and predation on zooplankton by fish were not assessed but could be factors influencing community dynamics. Six fish species were caught in both dams during the entire sampling. The dominant species numerically was *B. paludinosus* and *C. gariepinus* in either dam but *C. gariepinus* contributed the greatest proportion of the total catch weight at most times. The cyprinids *B. lineomaculatus* and *B. trimaculatus* were caught in small numbers and so were the cichlids, *O. mossambicus* and *T. sparrmanii*. This species composition is perhaps largely determined by the fish community in the river and the shallow nature and frequent fluctuations in water level do not allow for specialized habitats to develop in small dams hence promoting diversity. Water retention is very short in these dams and so they could be little difference with the main river. The highest proportion of breeding *B. paludinosus* was during the rainy season and consequently relative fecundity was highest at that time. Migration, spawning events and recruitment events were not easily discernable in the fish populations of either dam. The high turbidity when water levels were low did not appear to have adverse effects on the fish community in either dam.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Training of Fish Biologists project, a component of the University of Zimbabwe-Flemish Universities Link, funded by the Government of Belgium. All the research assistants who helped and other members of the Fish Biology Project, Maxwell Barson and Tinashe Muteveri, are thanked for their assistance with the fieldwork. Special thanks are due to Mr. Dave Stewart of Ingwerati farm for allowing us to work on his property. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof Brian Marshall who supervised all the work and his guidance in the preparation of this thesis. I cannot forget my wife Emely Musemburi for her support.

CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLES	vi
FIGURES	viii
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Study site	5
1.3. Climate and hydrology	7
2. SEASONAL AND DIURNAL STRATIFICATION REGIMES	
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Methods	12
2.3. Results	14
2.4. Discussion	24
3. WATER AND SEDIMENT CHEMISTRY	
3.1. Introduction	27
3.2. Methods	28
3.3. Results	32
3.4. Discussion	40
4. PHYTOPLANKTON AND ZOOPLANKTON COMMUNITY STRUCTURE	
4.1. Introduction	44
4.2. Methods	45
4.3. Results	48
4.4. Discussion	68

5. THE STRUCTURE OF THE FISH COMMUNITIES	
5.1 Introduction	74
5.2 Methods	75
5.3 Results	77
5.4 Discussion	93
6. CONCLUSION	97
7. REFERENCES	101

TABLES

	Page
1.1. Some morphometric characteristics of the two Mumwahuku dams at full capacity (D. Stewart, personal communication).....	6
2.1. The stability (gcm cm^{-2}) of the two dams over 24 hrs in December 2000, January, February and July 2001 (UD = Upper dam; LD = Lower dam). * = No measurements done.....	17
3.1. Kruskal-Wallis test for differences in physico-chemical characteristics between the sampling stations (station 1 & 2) in each of the two dams and test for differences between the Upper and Lower dams. (H = adjusted for ties). These data are for the period December 2000 – August 2001. None of the comparisons were significant ($p < 0.05$).....	37
3.2. Sediment texture (%) at deep and shallow water stations in the two dams (February 2001).....	38
4.1. The relative abundance (ind. l^{-1}) of some phytoplankton species occurring in the Upper dam, December 1999– August 2001.....	51
4.2. The relative abundance (ind. l^{-1}) of some phytoplankton species occurring in the Lower dam, December 1999– August 2001.....	52
4.3. The mean relative abundance (% number) of the major phytoplankton groups in the two dams showing the changes that took place in October 2000. the data are averages, with the range for each ten-month in brackets.....	53
4.4. Summary of results of a CCA output for the relationship between phytoplankton and environmental factors in the Upper dam.....	54
4.5. Summary of results of a CCA output for the relationship between phytoplankton and environmental factors in the Lower dam.....	56
4.6. The mean relative abundance (%) of the major zooplankton groups in the two dams showing the changes that took place in October 2000. The data are means, with the range for each ten-month in brackets.....	59
4.7. The relative abundance (ind. l^{-1}) of five species of cladocerans recorded from the two small dams, March 2000 – August 2001. (U = Upper dam and L = Lower dam; + = present but less than 1 individual per litre and - = absent).....	62
4.8. The relative abundance (ind. l^{-1}) of rotifer species occurring in the two dams, 2000-2001. (U = Upper dam, L = Lower dam, + = present but less than 1 individual per litre, - = absent).....	63

4.9. Summary of results of a CCA output for the relationship between zooplankton and environmental factors in the Upper dam.....	64
4.10. Summary of results of a CCA output for the relationship between zooplankton and environmental factors in the Lower dam.....	66
5.1. The total number of each fish species caught in the two dams combining catches by gill nets, fyke nets & electrofisher, November 1999 – August 2001	80
5.2. The total weight (nearest g) of each fish species caught in the two dams combining catches by gill nets, fyke nets & electrofisher, November – August 2001	80
5.3. The range of total lengths (mm) of six fish species caught in the Upper and Lower dams, Nov. 1999-Aug. 2001	81

FIGURES

	Page
1.1. Distribution of dams in Zimbabwe (Marshall and Maes, 1994).....	2
1.2. Location of the Mumwahuku dams in Zimbabwe (insert) and the sampling stations in each dam	6
1.3. (a) Changes in water level (% maximum depth) in the two dams (Upper dam = ●; Lower dam ○); (b) monthly rainfall (mm) and mean monthly air temperature (Δ) during the study period; (c) mean monthly values for solar radiation (MJ day ⁻¹) (◇) and sunshine hours (■) over a 20 year period recorded in Belvedere, Harare (Error bars = SD). Data from the Metereological Dept., Harare.....	8
1.4. Mean annual rainfall (MAR) and mean annual precipitation (MAP) for selected countries in the Northern and Southern hemisphere (named) as well as major river basins in Southern Africa (small circles).The Munwahuku catchment indicated by (■). (Modified from Allanson <i>et al.</i> , 1990)	9
2.1. Hypsographic (depth-area) curves of the Upper dam (○) and the Lower dam (●) on Mumwahuku river.....	13
2.2. Isotherms (°C) in the (a) Upper dam and (b) the Lower dam, November 1999 to August 2001(Dashed lines = no measurements taken)	15
2.3. Dissolved oxygen isopleths in the Upper dam (a) and the Lower dam (b) from November 1999 to August 2001 (Dashed lines = no measurements taken)	16
2.4. Changes in stability (gcm cm ⁻²) in the two dams, from December 1999 to August 2001. (Thin line = Upper dam; thick line = Lower dam)	17
2.5. Diurnal variation in air and water temperature (°C) and dissolved oxygen (mg l ⁻¹) in the Upper and Lower dams on 17 December 2000.....	19
2.6. Diurnal variation in air and water temperature (°C) and dissolved oxygen (mg l ⁻¹) in the Upper and Lower dams on 15 January 2001.....	20
2.7. Diurnal variation in air and water temperature (°C) and dissolved oxygen (mg l ⁻¹) in the Upper and Lower dams on 16 February 2001.....	22
2.8. Diurnal variation in air and water temperature (°C) and dissolved oxygen (mg l ⁻¹) in the Upper and Lower dams on 10 July 2001	23

3.1. Changes in (a) conductivity (μScm^{-1}), (b) total dissolved solids (mg l^{-1}), (c) total suspended solids (mg l^{-1}), (d) Secchi disc transparency (cm), (e) pH, (f) total alkalinity (mg l^{-1}), (g) biological oxygen demand (mg l^{-1}) and (h) chemical oxygen demand (mg l^{-1}) in the two dams from December 1999 to August 2001). (Upper dam = \circ ; Lower dam = \bullet).....	33
3.2. The concentration (mg l^{-1}) of (a) nitrate (b) ammonia and (c) nitrite (d) total nitrogen in the Upper (\circ) and Lower (\bullet), (January 2000 to August 2001)	35
3.3. The concentration (mg l^{-1}) of (a) reactive phosphorus, (b) total phosphorus and (c) the N: P ratio in the Upper (\circ) and Lower (\bullet) dams, December 1999 – August 2001	36
3.4. The proportions (%) of (a) carbon, (b) nitrogen and (c) phosphorus in the sediments of the two dams (November 2000 – August 2001)	39
4.1. Temporal changes in (a) total abundance (no. l^{-1}) and (b) chlorophyll <i>a</i> content in the Upper (\circ) and Lower dams (\bullet). Temporal changes in % composition of phytoplankton taxa in the (c) Upper and (d) Lower dams	50
4.2. Similarity (%) among phytoplankton samples collected at different times in the Upper and Lower dams. Key: Upper dam (A1 = Jan.2000, A2 = Feb. 2000.....A20 = Aug. 2001); Lower dam (B1 = Jan. 2000, B2 = Feb. 2000.....B20 = Aug. 2001)	51
4.3. CCA biplot of the relationship between phytoplankton taxa (\square) and the four statistically significant environmental factors (\rightarrow) in the Upper dam. Taxa Abbreviations are: <i>Crucigenia</i> sp. (Cruc), <i>Dinobyron</i> sp. (Dino), <i>Pediastrum</i> sp. (Pedria), <i>Ankistrodesmus</i> sp. (Ankist), <i>Chlamydomonas</i> sp. (Chlam), <i>Anabaena</i> sp. (Ana), <i>Selenastrum</i> sp. (Selen), <i>Chlorococcum</i> sp. (Chloro), <i>Spirogyra</i> sp. (Spiro), <i>Straurastrum</i> sp. (Staura), <i>Closterium</i> sp. (Clos), <i>Melosira</i> sp. (Melo), <i>Ceratium</i> sp. (Cera), <i>Mougetia</i> sp. (Moug), <i>Nostoc</i> sp. (Nos), <i>Scenedesmus</i> sp. (Scen), Bacillariophyta (Bacill), <i>Trachelomonas</i> sp. (Trach), <i>Euglena</i> sp. (Eugl), <i>Phacus</i> sp. (Phac), <i>Geminella</i> sp. (Gem), <i>Cosmarium</i> sp. (Cos), <i>Tetradium</i> sp. (Tetra)	55
4.4. CCA biplot of the relationship between phytoplankton taxa (\square) and the five statistically significant environmental factors (\rightarrow) in the Lower dam. Taxa Abbreviations are as in Figure 4.2 except: <i>Peridinium</i> sp. (Peri)	57
4.5. Temporal changes in (a) total abundance (n. l^{-1}) and % composition of zooplankton taxa in (b) the Upper and (c) Lower dams.....	60
4.6. Similarity (%) among zooplankton samples collected at different times in the Upper and Lower dams. Key: Upper dam (A1 = Jan.2000, A2 = Feb. 2000.....A20 = Aug. 2001); Lower dam (B1 = Jan. 2000, B2 = Feb. 2000.....B20 = Aug. 2001)	61

4.7. CCA biplot of the relationship between zooplankton taxa (□) and the six statistically significant environmental factors (→) in the Upper dam. Taxa abbreviations are: <i>Brachionus calyciflorus</i> (Bcal), <i>Keratella tropica</i> (Kt), <i>Polyathra dolichoptera</i> (Pd), <i>Keratella quadrata</i> (Kq), <i>Keratella cochlearis</i> (Kc), <i>Lecane</i> sp. (Lec), <i>Brachionus falcatus</i> (Bf), <i>Asplanchna priodonta</i> (Ap), <i>Filinia opolensis</i> (Fo), <i>Brachionus caudatus</i> (Bc), <i>Brachionus</i> sp. (Bp), <i>Bosmina longistoris</i> (Bl), <i>Chydorus</i> sp. (Ch), Cyclopoids (Cyclo), Calanoids (Cala), <i>Daphnia laevis</i> (Dl), <i>Daphnia exiscum</i> (De), <i>M. micrura</i> (Mm) and other (unidentified rotifer species).....	65
4.8. CCA biplot of the relationship between zooplankton taxa (□) and the six statistically significant environmental factors (→) in the Lower dam. Taxa abbreviations are as in Figure 4.5 except <i>Brachionus quadridentatus</i> (Bq) and <i>Brachionus annularis</i> (Ba)	67
5.1. The similarity (%) of fish communities in the two dams, Nov. 1999-Aug 2001. Key: Upper dam (A1 = Nov. 1999, A2 = Dec. 1999.....A22 = Aug. 2001); Lower dam (B1 = Jan. 2000, B2 = Feb. 2000.....B20 = Aug. 2001).....	79
5.2. The length frequency distributions of <i>B. paludinosus</i> caught in the Upper dam with fyke and gill nets (grey shading) and the electrofisher (black shading), (Jan. 2000 – Aug. 2001).....	83
5.3. The length frequency distributions of <i>B. paludinosus</i> caught in the Lower dam with fyke and gill nets (grey shading) and the electrofisher (black shading), (Jan. 2000 – Aug. 2001).....	84
5.4a. Cumulative frequency (probability scale) plotted against size class of <i>B. paludinosus</i> in the two dams. (thin line = Upper dam, thick line = Lower dam), January 2000 – October 2000	86
5.4b. Cumulative frequency (probability scale) plotted against size class of <i>B. paludinosus</i> in the two dams. (thin line = Upper dam, thick line = Lower dam), November 2000 – August 2001	87
5.5. The length frequency distributions of <i>C. gariepinus</i> caught in (a) the upper dam and (b) lower dams with an electrofisher, fyke nets and gill nets (Nov. 1999 – Aug. 2001)	88
5.6. Fecundity (mean number of eggs ± SE) against the different size classes of <i>B. paludinosus</i> in the upper (○) and lower (●) dams	89
5.7. (a) Relative fecundity (upper dam ■; lower dam □) of female <i>B. paludinosus</i> in relation to fluctuating water levels (Upper dam ○; Lower dam ●).....	90
5.8. The condition factor of (a) <i>B. paludinosus</i> and (b) <i>C. gariepinus</i> in the upper and lower dams	91

5.9. The length-weight relationships ($W = aL^b$) of <i>B. paludinosus</i> and <i>C. gariepinus</i> in the upper dam (a & c) and lower dam (b & d).....	92
---	----