

Abstract

The main objective of the project was to adapt and validate the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model for the prediction and control of the microclimate of an operational greenhouse in Zimbabwe. The use of modelling as a microclimate control strategy is aimed at achieving optimal climate control through the provision of a more affordable alternative. Measurements of climate variables were taken in a central position within as well as outside a commercial rose greenhouse at Floraline Pvt. Ltd over the period November 2004 to February 2005. The first stage of the project involved assessing the homogeneity of the greenhouse air by comparing temperature and humidity measurements taken at five positions within the greenhouse structure. It was found that the greenhouse air was not homogeneous and the placement of air temperature and humidity sensors in the greenhouse was important for correct decision making. The model performance was analyzed by comparing the measured climate variables (air temperature and humidity) inside the greenhouse with those from the simulation using the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model. This was done for two data sets, 3 to 11 November 2004 and 25 November 2004 to 01 December 2004; these were used as the calibration and validation periods respectively. In the study, both the direct and diffuse solar radiation had to be simulated as only the global radiation was measured whilst the stomatal resistance values used for the transpiration sub-model were 1800 s m^{-1} (maximum) and 200 s m^{-1} (minimum).

Overall, the model underestimated the air temperature by up to 6°C whilst the humidity was overestimated by up to 60 %. The root mean square errors (RMSE) for the calibration period were in the range $1.0 - 2.0^\circ\text{C}$ (daytime) and $0.2 - 0.8^\circ\text{C}$ (nighttime) for the air temperature. The vapour pressure had RMSE in the range $0.6 - 1.0 \text{ kPa}$ (daytime) and $0.1 - 0.2 \text{ kPa}$ (nighttime). The model deviated more from the actual measurements during the daytime because of increased temperature and vapour pressure differences between the inside and outside of the greenhouse. The differences between the simulated and measured air temperature was attributed to their volumetric heat capacities, arising from those of pressure (1013 hPa and 850 hPa respectively). There was a notable improvement in the nighttime simulation of the air temperature and vapour pressure during the validation period. The differences between the calibration and validation periods indicated errors in the simulation programme that were not picked up during the runs. Based on the air temperature results, the model has shown its potential for use in greenhouse microclimate prediction in Zimbabwe. The high correlation ($r^2=0.96$) between the simulated and measured air temperature shows that the model has the potential for use in decision making. The model can be reliably used to determine the weekly greenhouse air temperatures because of the observed maximum difference of 2°C between the simulation and actual measurements. However the model cannot be implemented without further calibration of the model parameters to improve the simulation of humidity, which was significantly different from the actual measurements. Humidity is an important variable in disease forecasting for greenhouse production.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to my supervisors, Mr. Mashonjowa and Professor Milford for their time and continued guidance in the compilation of the dissertation. Sincere gratitude is also extended to the rest of the MAGM staff and students for the assistance granted throughout the course of the programme.

This project would not have been possible without the assistance of Mr. Dror Jackson and staff of Floraline Pvt Ltd who availed a greenhouse for the measurements of climate variables during the course of the project. I hope that this collaboration between industry and the Department will continue for their mutual benefit.

Special mention should go to Flemish Universities-UZ Link (VLIR) for the financial assistance and the Ministry of Agriculture in Zimbabwe who allowed me time off work to further my studies.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family especially my daughter Tinotenda for their encouragement and understanding through the years I was undertaking the programme.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
List of Tables.....	vi
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Appendices.....	ix
List of Symbols.....	x
List of Acronyms.....	xiii

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.0 Background.....	1
1.1 Requirements of Floricultural Production.....	1
1.2 Constraint of Greenhouse Production.....	3
1.3 Aims and Objectives.....	5
1.4 Project Layout.....	5

Chapter 2

LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.0 Greenhouse Production.....	6
2.1 Greenhouse Microclimate.....	7
2.1.1 <i>Effects of the greenhouse cover on the greenhouse microclimate</i>	7
2.2 Effect of Radiation and Temperature on Greenhouse Plant Production.....	8
2.2.1 <i>Control of temperature within the greenhouse</i>	10
2.3 Greenhouse Physical Processes.....	11
2.3.1 <i>Radiation</i>	12
a) <i>Shortwave (Solar) Radiation</i>	12
b) <i>Longwave (Thermal) Radiation</i>	13
2.3.2 <i>Convection</i>	14
a) <i>Ventilation</i>	18
2.3.3 <i>Phase change</i>	18
a) <i>Evapotranspiration</i>	19
2.3.4 <i>Conduction</i>	21
2.3.5 <i>Summary</i>	21
2.4 Modelling of the Greenhouse Microclimate.....	22
2.4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	22
2.4.2 <i>Analysis of the performance of greenhouse microclimate models</i>	23
2.4.3 <i>Selection of a greenhouse microclimate model</i>	26

Chapter 3

MODEL DESCRIPTION.....	27
3.0 Introduction.....	27
3.1 Boundary Conditions.....	28
3.2 Greenhouse Layers.....	30
3.2.1 <i>Cover</i>	30
3.2.2 <i>Vegetation</i>	32
3.2.3 <i>Soil surface layer</i>	34
3.2.4 <i>Soil sub-layer</i>	35
3.2.5 <i>Inside air</i>	36

3.2.6	<i>Ventilation system</i>	36
3.3	Running a Simulation using the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model.....	37

Chapter 4

MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	39
4.0 Introduction.....	39
4.1 Site.....	39
4.1.1 <i>Climate</i>	40
4.2 Experimental Design.....	40
4.3 Field Measurements.....	44
4.3.1 <i>Radiation</i>	44
4.3.2 <i>Air temperature and relative humidity</i>	44
a) <i>HMP45AC temperature and relative humidity probes</i>	45
b) <i>CS500 temperature and relative humidity probe</i>	45
c) <i>RHT2 temperature and relative humidity probe</i>	45
d) <i>RHA1 temperature and relative humidity probe</i>	45
4.3.3 <i>Soil temperature</i>	46
4.3.4 <i>Leaf temperature</i>	46
4.3.5 <i>Air measurement</i>	46
4.4 Equations Used in the Analysis.....	47
4.4.1 <i>Vapour pressure</i>	47
4.4.2 <i>Cloudiness factor</i>	47
4.5 Instrument Calibration.....	48
4.5.1 <i>Radiation pyranometers</i>	48
4.5.2 <i>Quantum sensors</i>	49
4.5.3 <i>Temperature and relative humidity sensors</i>	49
4.6 Model Calibration.....	50
4.6.1 <i>Type 9 Card reader for the outside climate data</i>	50
4.6.2 <i>Type 14 Forcing functions for LAI, p_v and m_v</i>	51
4.6.3 <i>Type 16 Radiation data</i>	51
4.6.4 <i>Type 64 Vegetation</i>	51
4.6.5 <i>Type 73 Heating system</i>	51
4.7 Model Runs.....	52
48 Data Analysis.....	52

Chapter 5

RESULTS.....	53
5.1 Transmission Coefficients.....	53
5.2 Greenhouse Microclimate Measurements.....	56
5.2.1 <i>Temperature and vapour pressure variations within the greenhouse</i>	56
a) <i>Temperature variations</i>	56
b) <i>Vapour pressure variations</i>	58
5.2.2 <i>Comparison of the greenhouse microclimate with the outside measurements</i>	60
5.2.3 <i>Vapour pressure deficit</i>	63
5.2.4 <i>Leaf temperature</i>	64
5.3 Model Results.....	67
5.3.1 <i>Air temperature</i>	67
a) <i>Calibration</i>	68
b) <i>Validation</i>	71
5.3.2 <i>Humidity</i>	73
a) <i>Calibration</i>	73

<i>b) Validation.....</i>	<i>78</i>
---------------------------	-----------

Chapter 6

DISCUSSION.....	81
6.1 Transmission Coefficients	81
6.2 Temperature and Vapour Pressure Variations within the Greenhouse.....	82
6.3 Comparison of the Greenhouse Microclimate with the Outside Measurements.....	83
6.4 Leaf Temperature.....	84
6.5 Model Results.....	85

Chapter 7

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	87
REFERENCES.....	90
APPENDICES.....	95

List of Tables

Table 4.1:	Calibration factors for radiation sensors	48
Table 4.2:	Calibration factors for temperature and humidity probes.....	50
Table 4.3:	Characteristics of the commercial greenhouse used at Floraline Pvt Ltd.....	50
Table 4.4:	Forcing function for LAI (leaf area index), p_v (cultivated fraction of the greenhouse floor) and m_v (vegetation mass density).....	51
Table 5.1:	The daily average transmission coefficients of a greenhouse at Floraline Pvt Ltd calculated from PAR and total solar radiation measurements in November 2004.....	54
Table 5.2:	Root mean square errors (RMSE) of the simulation of the greenhouse air temperature using the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model for DOY 308 to DOY311	69
Table 5.3:	Root mean square errors (RMSE) of the simulation of the greenhouse air temperature using the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model for DOY 331 to DOY 335.....	72
Table 5.4:	Root mean square errors (RMSE) of the simulation of the greenhouse vapour pressure using Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model for DOY 308 to DOY311	76
Table 5.5:	Root mean square errors (RMSE) of the simulation of the greenhouse vapour pressure using Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model for DOY 331 to DOY 335	80

List of Figures

Fig 2.1:	Typical microclimate of a ventilated greenhouse.....	8
Fig 2.2:	The inputs and outputs for a greenhouse microclimate model.....	23
Fig 3.1:	Scheme of the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Microclimate Model.....	29
Fig 3.2:	Determination of p_v for (a) a fully developed crop and for (b) a sparse crop.....	32
Fig 4.1:	Outside Automatic Weather Station at Floraline Pvt. Ltd.....	41
Fig 4.2:	Experimental setup inside a commercial greenhouse at Floraline Pvt. Ltd.....	42
Fig 4.3:	Diagram of the placement of the temperature and relative humidity sensors within the greenhouse (6 November 2004).....	43
Fig 5.1:	Diurnal variation in total solar radiation and PAR transmittance on a clear day (DOY 334) and cloudy day (DOY 340).....	54
Fig 5.2:	Spatial temperature variations inside the greenhouse on DOY 311 (6 November 2004).....	56
Fig 5.3:	Regression analysis of the spatial temperature variations inside the greenhouse on DOY 311 (6 November 2004).....	57
Fig 5.4:	Spatial vapour pressure variations inside the greenhouse on DOY 311 (6 November 2004).....	58
Fig 5.5:	Regression analysis of the spatial vapour pressure variations inside the greenhouse on DOY 311 (6 November 2004).....	59
Fig 5.6:	Comparison of the temperature and vapour pressure inside and outside the greenhouse on a clear day (DOY 334 -29 November 2004).....	61
Fig 5.7:	Comparison of the temperature and vapour pressure inside and outside the greenhouse on a cloudy day (DOY 340-5 December 2004).....	61
Fig 5.8:	Comparison of the vapour pressure deficit inside and outside the greenhouse on a clear day (DOY 334-29 November 2004) and a cloudy day (DOY 340-5 December 2004).....	63
Fig 5.9:	Comparison of the temperature between the upper and lower leaf surfaces in a greenhouse on DOY 334 (29 November 2004).....	64
Fig 5.10:	Comparison of the temperature between the upper and lower leaf surfaces in a greenhouse on DOY 340 (5 December 2004).....	65
Fig 5.11:	Comparison of the simulated and measured average air temperature inside the greenhouse on DOY 308 to DOY 311. Sim1 and Sim2 represent the simulations with the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model before and after the calibration respectively.....	68
Fig 5.12:	Regression analysis for the simulated and measured average air temperature inside the greenhouse on DOY 308 to DOY 311 before and after the calibration of the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model.....	69
Fig 5.13:	Comparison of the simulated and measured average air temperature inside the greenhouse on DOY 331 to DOY 335. The simulation was done with the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model.	71
Fig 5.14:	Regression analysis for the simulated and measured average air temperature inside the greenhouse on DOY 331 to DOY 335.....	72
Fig 5.15:	Comparison of the simulated and measured average relative humidity within the greenhouse on DOY 308 to DOY 311. Sim1 and Sim2 represent the simulations with the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model before and after the calibration respectively.	74
Fig 5.16:	Comparison of the simulated and measured average vapour pressure within the greenhouse on DOY 308 to DOY 311. Sim1 and Sim2 represent the simulations with the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model before and after the calibration respectively.	75

Fig 5.17:	Regression analysis for the simulated and measured average vapour pressure within the greenhouse on DOY 308 to DOY 311 before and after the calibration of the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model	76
Fig 5.18:	Comparison of the simulated and measured average relative humidity within the greenhouse on DOY 331 to DOY 335.....	78
Fig 5.19:	Comparison of the simulated and measured average vapour pressure inside the greenhouse on DOY 331 to DOY 335	79
Fig 5.20:	Regression analysis for the simulated and measured average vapour pressure inside the greenhouse on DOY 331 to DOY 335.....	79

List of Appendices

Appendix A:	Day of year (Julian) calendar.....	95
Appendix B:	Temperature and relative humidity probes used for the periods: 3 to 7 November 2004 (DOY308 to DOY 311), 25 to 30 November 2004 (DOY 330 to DOY335), 1 to 6 December 2004 (DOY 336 to DOY 341) and 6 to 11 February 2005 (DOY 37 to DOY 42).....	96
Appendix C:	Calculation of cloudiness from the maximum and minimum solar radiation values for DOY 308 to DOY 311 and DOY 330 to DOY 335 respectively.....	98
Appendix D:	Regression analysis for the calibration of the transpiration sub-model of the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model.....	99
Appendix E:	The differences between the simulated and measured air temperature inside the greenhouse and the measured outside air temperature for the calibration (DOY 308 to DOY 311) and validation (DOY 331 to DOY 335) periods respectively.....	100
Appendix F	The differences between the simulated and measured vapour pressure inside the greenhouse and the measured outside vapour pressure for the calibration (DOY 308 to DOY 311) and validation (DOY 331 to DOY 335) periods respectively.....	101
Appendix G	Simulation Programme for the Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model.....	102

List of Symbols

Latin symbols

A	surface area	(m ²)
c_p	specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure	(J m ⁻² K ⁻¹)
C	water vapour concentration	(kg m ⁻³)
c'	heat capacity per unit area	(J m ⁻² K ⁻¹)
d	characteristic length	(m)
D	diffusion coefficient	(m ² s ⁻¹)
e	partial pressure of water vapour in air	(kPa)
$e_s(T)$	saturation vapour pressure of water at temperature T	(kPa)
δe	saturation deficit i.e. $e_s(T) - e$	(kPa)
E	flux of water per unit area; evaporation rate	(mm day ⁻¹)
F	mass flux of a gas per unit area; flux of radiant energy	
g	acceleration by gravity	(9.81 m s ⁻²)
h	heat transfer coefficient	(W m ⁻² K ⁻¹)
H	solar time	(hr)
I	intensity of radiation	
l	thickness	(m)
L	long wave radiation flux	(W m ⁻²)
m'	mass surface density	(kg m ⁻²)
q	heat flux density	(W m ⁻²)
Q	heat flux	(W)
r	mass transfer resistance	
R_a	air renewal rate	(hr ⁻¹)
R'_a	ventilation rate	(m ⁻³ s ⁻¹)
R_n	net radiation flux density	(W m ⁻²)
t	time	(s)
T	temperature	(°C)
u	air velocity, wind speed	(m s ⁻¹)
V	volume	(m ³)

Greek symbols

α	absorptivity at wavelength λ	
γ	psychrometric constant $\left(\frac{c_p P}{\lambda \varepsilon} \right)$	
Δ	rate of change of saturation vapour pressure with temperature $\partial e_s(T) / \partial T$	
ε	emissivity at wavelength λ	
λ	wavelength of electromagnetic radiation	
κ	thermal diffusivity of still air	
ν'	coefficient of kinematic viscosity	
ρ	reflectivity at wavelength λ	

ρ'	density	(kg m ⁻³)
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant	(5.67 × 10 ⁻⁸ W m ⁻² K ⁻⁴)
τ	transmissivity at wavelength λ	

Subscripts

a	air
b	bulk
c	cover
ce	outer cover surface
ci	inner cover surface
cf	condensation film
D	conduction
day	day defined as the period between sunrise and sunset
e	external air
gr	greenhouse
i	internal air
P	phase change (evaporation and condensation)
R	far infrared radiation
s	soil surface
sd	soil
set	set point
sky	sky, treated as a full black body radiator,
ss	subsoil
$s1, s2, s3, s4$	$s1$ and ss one soil layers and subsoil
$s12, s23, s34$	interfaces between the soil layers
$s4s$	soil layer interface between soil layer 4 and the subsoil
v	vegetation
V	convection
vent	ventilation

Dimensionless groups

Biot number	$\frac{h_{v+R}.d}{\lambda}$
Grashof number	$\frac{b.g.d^3. T_{sd} - T_b }{\nu'^2}$
Nusselt number	$\frac{q_v}{\lambda.\frac{T_{sd} - T_b}{d}}$
Prandtl number	$\frac{\nu}{\kappa}$

Reynolds number	$\frac{u.d}{\nu}$
Schmidt number	$\frac{\nu'}{D}$
Sherwood number	$\frac{q'_p}{D \cdot \frac{C_{sd} - C_b}{d}}$

List of Acronyms

AWS	Automatic Weather Station
CF	Cloudiness Factor
DOY	Day Of Year
EFGAZ	Export Flower Growers Association of Zimbabwe
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organisation
GDGCM	Gembloux Dynamic Greenhouse Climate Model
GCM	Greenhouse Climate Model
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
LAI	Leaf area index
LWD	Leaf wetness duration
PAR	Photosynthetically active radiation
RD	rooting density distribution
RMSE	Root mean square error
TRNSYS	Transient system simulation programme
VPD	Vapour pressure deficit