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ABSTRACT

This article is a derivative of my doctoral thesis at the University of South Africa’s (UNISA) School of Business Leadership (SBL) from 2001 to 2003. The electronic version of the thesis is available on the Unisa Institutional Repository.

A number of scholars (e.g. Ferreira 1997, Clegg & Birch 1998, Secretan 1999, Beer & Nohria 2000, Mbigi 2000) share great concern over the limited in-depth examination and understanding of challenges related to organisational transformation. Such an understanding is required in order to improve the odds of success of change initiatives.

As part of dealing with the concern, this article focuses on providing a synthesis of the related literature cited centring on organisational transformation.

From the literature search, there are four broad revelations:

- There is a knowledge gap in terms of the applicability of existing change theories in non-Western, emerging economies;
- The transformation theory arena has been driven by case studies;
- Change theories have tended to be prescriptive, with very little, if any, empirical testing; and
- There has been no change theory cited which is a prescription for emerging economies.

Introduction

For the purposes of appreciating the applicability of existing change literature in non-Western emerging nations, literature surveyed covered the following broad areas:

- a survey of emerging economies;
- a survey of change theories;
- an overview of change implementation strategies;
- a survey of transformational models; and
- a survey of transformation techniques.

At various points of the literature review, key questions are raised linking the review aspects back to the need for further studies on the applicability of the literature in non-Western emerging nations, using Zimbabwe as anchor.

A Survey of Emerging Economies

Aldrich (1999:6) argues that ‘organizations are shaped by the contexts in which they are established, and thus contemporary organizations reflect the impact of their historical origins in societies characterized by growing affluence and competition over the control and distribution of wealth’.

This implies that any study about how organizations emerge and grow may be informed by an appreciation of their political, economic, technological, and social differentiation.
Political Structure

The literature reviewed highlights the political features summarized below.

There were three main colonial powers in Africa in the 1950’s: the French, the Portuguese, and the British. The African colonial experience demonstrates that the greater resistance to political change, the greater the potential for the destruction of the country.

There was least resistance to political change in Francophone Africa, except in Algeria. In countries where colonialists stubbornly resisted change there was a degeneration of the liberation struggle into civil war and the adoption of Marxism. Experiences in most Lusophone countries like Angola, Mozambique, Guinea-Bissau, Algeria under the French, and Zimbabwe under the British, are examples in this category.

Key features of colonial rule in Africa include political domination and oppression, lack of civil liberties/rights, lack of political freedom, economic domination and exploitation, lack of economic rights and lack of economic freedom.

A further review of the politics of Africa reveals that, evidently, the violent overthrow of a government has often been followed by a period of chaos, insurgency, and instability. The experience is that various disparate groups and/or elements join hands to dislodge a regime they do not want. However, when this regime is at the point of being ousted or has been ousted, these groups begin fighting each other.

Examples are in Liberia {conflict between Taylor and Johnson}, Angola {between Union for the Total Liberation of Angola (UNITA) and the Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola (MPLA)}, Mozambique {between the National Resistance Movement of Mozambique (RENAMO)} and the Freedom for the Liberation of Mozambique (FRELIMO)}, South Africa {between Inkatha and the African National Congress (ANC)}, and Zimbabwe {between the Zimbabwe African People’s Union (ZAPU) and the Zimbabwe African National Union (ZANU)}.

These occurrences have been witnessed outside Africa as well. A good example is the Mujihadeen people who started fighting each other after routing Soviet forces in Afghanistan.

Looking back to the African past may provide the answer to this political turmoil.

Traditionally, Africans found peaceful solutions to problems through village meetings. These were convened under a ‘big tree’ to debate issues until consensus was reached.

The village meetings are called pitso by the Xhosa, ndaba by the Zulu, kgotla by the Tswana, asetena kese by the Asante, and dare by the Shona.

Citing that Westerners solve political problems by majority vote, Ayittey (1999) acknowledges that Africans do so by consensus. Ayittey proceeds to assert that
majority vote ignores minority positions while consensual decision-making takes minority views into account.

On the basis of these observations, it is instructive to examine how this traditional political problem-solving strategy may find a place in the transformation of organisations. Part of this examination is through appreciating how Mbigi (1997 & 2000) has found a place for the *dare* concept in the Ubuntu Transformational Model. This model is discussed below.

Africa’s post-colonial experience as from the 1960’s provides a picture of economic disintegration, political chaos, inane civil wars, and infrastructural and institutional decay.

For example, by the beginning of the 1990’s, those countries that had adopted African socialism abandoned this political positioning. Whereas African leaders blamed Western aid donors or hostile international economic environments, Ayittey (1999) argues that internal factors contributed more to the crisis in Africa than external factors.

It certainly is the responsibility of Africans to clean up the political mess in those countries where it exists. The African business renaissance is calling upon Africans to control their destiny since political uncertainty discourages business investment and trade.

There is need for a study aimed at drawing positives from African political experiences and contributing towards a robust transformational model that exploits the rich African cultural heritage.

**Economic Structure**

Emerging economies offer a major growth opportunity in the evolving world economic order. Arnold & Quelch (1998) indicate that the potential of emerging economies has already affected a shift in multinational corporations, which now customarily highlight emerging market investments in their communications with shareholders. The two researchers point out that the proportions of global foreign direct investment inflows to developing countries increased from 18% in 1992 to 33% in 1996, when it exceeded $100 billion.

The implication is that corporate executives need to rethink their marketing policies in order to reflect the distinctively different environments of the emerging nations.

Of importance is an appreciation of the economic challenges in the emerging markets of Africa, particularly Southern Africa.

By the beginning of the 1980’s, Africa was moving from one crisis to another. African leaders attending a United Nations special session on Africa in May 1986 admitted this. These leaders observed that consolidation of power was wrong and that misguided policies and economic mismanagement had played a significant role in precipitating Africa’s economic crisis (Ayittey, 1999).
The African leaders at this meeting agreed to restructure their economies away from statism. By 1990, more than half of the concerned African nations had signed a structural adjustment agreement with the World Bank (WB) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF). Such an agreement provided loans to a developing country to revamp its economy and reorient it to greater reliance on markets and private sector participation.

The programmes entailed selling unprofitable state enterprises, revamping the public sector to make it more efficient, reducing budget deficits, removing price controls, devaluing exchange rates, and generally dismantling the machinery of state intervention.

Ayittey (1999) reports that the experience with economic restructuring in Africa has been generally disappointing. Ayittey cites two conceptual flaws to the structural adjustment programmes conceived and administered by the World Bank:

(a) The supply of funds on a large scale to governments in Africa only strengthens the role of the state in the economy and undermines the trend towards a market economy and a vigorous private sector;

(b) In most cases in Africa, structural adjustment amounts to reorganising a bankrupt company and placing it, together with the massive infusion of new capital, in the hands of the same incompetent management that ruined it in the first place.

Ayittey, therefore, suggests that several other requirements need to be satisfied for structural adjustment to succeed in Africa. These include installing competent leadership, improving the development environment, improving the policy environment, achieving political reform, establishing fiscal austerity, and introducing freedom of expression and consensus.

Discussing economic prosperity and liberation in Africa, Mbigi (2000) highlights that there are three developmental phases: civil liberation, political liberation, and economic liberation. Mbigi argues that the whole of the African struggle is in the third phase – the economic struggle – and no African country has won its economic struggle against poverty. From this premise, all African countries are emerging economies, with varying levels of poverty.

The Zimbabwean case is a typical illustration of the interconnectedness between political and economic liberation.

Economic analysts in Zimbabwe are generally agreed that the current economic situation in the country is the worst in decades. It would appear the main driver is the politics of the land. The socio-political concerns centre on the government’s land redistribution programme.

The highlights of the Zimbabwean economic scenario paint a picture that instructs companies to think of ways to survive in hostile economic environments. Most Zimbabwean companies have responded by continuously reducing costs, disposing of what they call non-core operations and introducing highly competitive products.

There is need for studies seeking to come up with a transformational theory/model that may be used by companies to expand in emerging economies. Of greater interest is the uncovering of a theory/model to be used in those emerging economies that may be considered submerging like Zimbabwe.

**National Culture**

Literature on African culture is quite revealing.


Archbishop Desmond Tutu is renowned for having given the most definitive perspective of Ubuntu in 1994. Mbigi (2000:7) quotes Tutu saying:

> Africans have a thing called Ubuntu; it is about the essence of being human, it is part of the gift Africa is going to give to the world. It embraces hospitality, caring about others, being willing to go that extra mile one for another. We believe that a person is a person through other persons; that my humanity is caught up, bound up inextricably in yours. When I dehumanize you, I dehumanize myself. The solitary human being is a contradiction in terms, and therefore you seek work for the common good because your humanity comes into its own in community, in belonging.

Mbigi has taken Tutu’s challenge and come up with a synthesis of African culture through a hierarchy of spirits (Exhibit 1).

The synthesis highlights that dominant African national cultures are governed by the creation of a space for the respect, recognition, validation, celebration and affirmation of creative spirits. These creative spirits may be considered as archetypes with generic values and orientations that, when awakened, become the basis for both personal and organisational transformation.

From oral tradition, the spirit is the total being which includes the depth of the soul.

A brief description of these archetypes by Mbigi is given below.

The witch spirit, lowest in the hierarchy, is a negative spirit meant to promote evil and cause human suffering.

Comparatively, the avenging spirit is one that has been wronged and as a result is filled with bitterness.
The competitive spirit with killer instinct towards its opponents is encapsulated in the third spirit in the hierarchy, the war spirit.

The clan spirit, the fourth, is a spirit of a deceased relative that is good at improvisation or innovation to ensure the survival of one's group or clan.

Above the clan spirit comes the divination spirit that characterises the work of soothsayers, particularly in helping traumatised groups and societies positively to contribute to society.

The wandering spirit, endowed with the creativity and innovation, is part of a crowd yet remains distant from it. This spirit is often persecuted and marginalized.

Next in line is the hunter spirit that exhibits entrepreneurial characteristics. It is known for restlessness and courage to search for opportunities.

The highest spirit is the rainmaker spirit chosen by God as an earthly representative. Rainmakers are divine human beings, but not gods in themselves. In prayer, for example, the practice is to pray with and through others. In this regard, to reach the God of the skies and all living things, prayer is through elders and ancestors.
Approaching God directly is discouraged. The essence of this is the notion of interdependence.

From the cited literature, Afrocentric culture, centred around the hierarchy of African spirits, is an expression of a number of Ubuntu principles. It is a culture that is inclusive of all the spirits, accepting that each contributes to consultation and consensus building in its own unique way. Each spirit has a legitimate place requiring people to treat it with dignity, compassion and care for collective solidarity to be realised.

It is, indeed, necessary to find how national cultures are expressed in organisational transformation in emerging nations.

**Technological Structure**

Toffler (1970:34) points out “technological innovation consists of three stages, linked together into a reinforcing cycle. First, there is the creative, feasible idea. Second, its practical application. Third, its diffusion through society. There is growing evidence that the time between each cycle is shortening”. This has an impact on the rate of transfer of technology from the developed to the emerging economies.

As information technology has been closely linked to transformation, the discussion below focuses on this area of technology.

Tehranian (1988) identifies four perspectives on information technologies, which are relevant to the adoption of technology in emerging economies: the technophilic, the technophobic, the technoneutralist, and the technostructuralist.

Tehranian says technophiles are optimists who believe that the present technological revolution in information storage, processing and retrieval has already inaugurated a ‘post industrial, information society’ with higher productivity and plenty at world centres that will eventually trickle to the peripheries.

This perspective underlines the idea that technologies are born in developed economies and transferred at will to the emerging economies.

By contrast, Tehranian argues technophobes are rather pessimistic about such promises of widespread productivity and plenty. They point to the threats that increasing robotisation and computer assisted design and manufacturing hold for rising structural unemployment and socio-economic dualism. They also point to the perils that the new databases pose for political surveillance and individual privacy, to the dangers that homogenisation of culture by media monopolies present for cultural autonomy and diversity.

This is the general view held by disadvantaged groups in emerging economies.

According to Tehranian, technoneutrals typically tend to be consultants, who have little theoretical pretension and considerable interest at stake not to alienate their clients. They often assume a neutral position regarding the effects of technology.
For Tehranian, technostructuralists argue that technologies are by themselves neither good nor bad nor neutral. This implies that to understand the impact of information technology, an analysis has to be made of the social structures through which they produce their employment generating or reducing, political centralising or decentralising, cultural homogenising or pluralizing effects.

In this regard, technology is not merely a question of tools – hardware, skills and knowledge – software, but also an associated structure.

Literature on technology also reveals there are at least six features of the new information technologies: increasing interactivity, universality, channel capacity, content variety, low noise and high speed.

Interactivity is becoming more possible by the convergence of telecommunication and computer technologies. For example, by combining cable television with computers and telephone lines, it provides a fully interactive system for teleshopping, telebanking and teleconferencing. Because of the generally poor infrastructure in emerging economies new interactive technologies are only beginning to lay the groundwork for more horizontal modes of communication.

Universality has for long been recognised as a feature of mass communication in developed countries. While high rates of illiteracy in emerging economies have limited the print media from becoming universal, radio, and increasingly television, have begun to gain status. Universality is still a distant dream in emerging economies.

Channel capacity is slowly increasing in emerging economies through the introduction of broadband cable, satellites, fibre optics, laser technology and more efficient use of the electromagnetic spectrum and the geostationary orbit.

Content variety is a promise less fulfilled in emerging economies. Despite some increase in channel capacity, the media diet, for example, is still limited. African nations, like Zimbabwe, are crying for local content.

Low noise, which depends less on institutional arrangements, is a technological achievement gaining currency, but only to the privileged due to high costs.

High speed is also less affected by institutional arrangements. The transition from copper cable to fibre optics is slowly gathering momentum.

From the discussion above, if the world is viewed as a series of concentric circles, the most technologically and economically advanced countries stand at the centre, followed by the newly industrialised countries at the semi-peripheries and the least developed at the extreme peripheries.

A critical challenge is how to invent a development strategy for emerging economies that bypasses the economic exploitations that have been experienced so far. For the developed economies, the challenge is how to share their scientific and technological know-how with the emerging economies.
Transformation agents have much to learn from the fact that information sharing has a synergy effect. Information feeds on information, and thus grows at an accelerating rate.

Evidently, technologies are enablers of transformation efforts.

**Generalised Descriptions of Emerging and Developed Economies**

From the intensive literature search and discussion with selected members in government, civil society and business, a number of characteristics featured as general descriptors of emerging and developed economies. These descriptors have been synthesised under four variables: political, economic, socio-cultural and technological in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Characteristics of Emerging Economies and Developed Economies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Emerging Economies</th>
<th>Developed Economies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Political** | • A combination of democratic and authoritarian regimes  
• High incidences of internal conflict  
• Weak legislature and judiciary, often muzzled by the executive  
• Low to no voting powers in global institutions such as IMF, WB and the United Nations Security Council | • Democratic regimes  
• High social inclusion, including civic society  
• Strong legislature and judiciary, with some independence from the executive  
• High voting powers in global institutions such as IMF, WB and the United Nations Security Council |
| **Economic** | • Low economic growth trends coupled with negative trends  
• High domestic and external debts, mainly above 10% of GDP  
• Heavy reliance on primary industry and a few sectors of the economy  
• Low savings from investments  
• High imports resulting in dumping and stifling infant industry  
• Excessive government intervention in the market | • High and constant economic growth trends  
• Little or no domestic and external debts  
• Diversified industry and high export of finished products  
• High savings and high private and public investments  
• Heavy subsidy of local industry resulting in dumping in emerging economies, stifling those economies  
• Moderate government intervention in the market |
| **Socio-cultural** | • High birth rates relative to economic growth and food production  
• Low life expectancy worsened by HIV/AIDS  
• Low income – high levels of poverty  
• High illiteracy levels and child mortality  
• High unemployment | • Low birth rates relative to economic and food production  
• High life expectancy  
• High income  
• High literacy levels and low mortality  
• Low unemployment |
| **Technological** | • Poorly developed infrastructure, particularly roads and communication systems  
• Low investments in R&D and scientific and technological fields  
• Poorly developed transport systems  
• Poor water supply and sanitation systems  
• High gender inequality in higher education and technological spheres  
• High military expenditure  
• High labour intensive technologies, | • Well developed and maintained infrastructure, particularly roads and communication systems  
• High investments in R&D and scientific and technological fields  
• Highly developed transport systems  
• Good water supply and sanitation systems  
• High gender equality in higher education and technological spheres  
• Relatively low military expenditure  
• High industrialisation |
On the basis of these descriptions, the respondents concurred that the general descriptions of emerging economies applied to Zimbabwe in the period 1980 to 2000.

A Survey of Change Theories

Aldrich’s definition of ‘organizations’ has been adopted for this study. Aldrich (1999:2) conceives organizations as “goal-directed, boundary-maintaining, and socially constructed systems of human activity”. In this definition, ‘goal-directed’ reflects that organizations are purposive systems, ‘boundary-maintaining’ refers to enforcement of membership distinctions, and ‘socially constructed systems of human activity’ has to do with bounded sets of interdependent role behaviours.

This choice of definition is consonant with the intention of the study. The intention is to analyse what obtains in business organizations. Such organizations are, basically, goal-oriented organizations as distinguished from other social units, such as families or friendship circles.

Research on how organizations change emphasizes a search for answers to two broad questions:

(a) Through what process do organizations emerge?
(b) Taking the existence of organizations as given, how do the organizations transform?

A brief discussion on the emergence of organizations is going to be followed by a more detailed analysis on how existing organizations transform. This approach is used since the focus of the study is on existing organizations. Additionally, the approach provides an opportunity to link organizational transformation to founding conditions and the growth of organizational knowledge.

How Organisations Emerge

Empirical evidence from studies quoted in Aldrich 1999 (e.g. Baum 1996, Fichman & Levinthal 1991, Levinthal 1991, Duncan & Handler 1994, and Spilling 1996) indicates that most organizations start small and most change little, if at all, over their lifetimes. Such start-ups are a result of entrepreneurial pursuit of organizational knowledge and mobilization of resources around an activity system.

Reynolds, in Aldrich 1999, positions the emergence of organizations (from conception to established new firm) as beginning with someone thinking about starting a new business, alone or with others, and therefore, engaging in activities to further the objective. Some of the activities include looking for facilities/equipment, initiating savings to invest, investing own money in the new firm, organizing start-up team, writing business plan, or initiating other start-up behaviours.

Presenting that the founding process is not linear but complex and chaotic, Reynolds, in Aldrich 1999, argues that the outcomes of the process are highly uncertain. Reynolds also suggests that this is because the intentions of the entrepreneurs are misguided, or they cannot mobilize needed resources or, in other cases, many cannot achieve the level of control necessary to gain mastery over organizational boundaries.
Aldrich (1999) concludes that for those organizing attempts that succeed, the entrepreneurs will have discovered how to maintain organization boundaries and will have learnt how to reproduce their portion of organizational knowledge. Aldrich also classifies start-up organizations as either reproducer or innovator organizations.

Under reproducer organizations falls those organizations started from an established population whose routines and competencies vary only minimally, if at all, from those of existing organizations. On the other hand, innovator organizations are started by entrepreneurs whose routines and competencies vary significantly from those of existing organizations (Aldrich, 1999).

The activities of entrepreneurs that relate to founding of organizations are definitely a pointer in the direction of how creative moves by organization members may generate unforeseen consequences. This study investigates how these creative moves impact organizational transformations.

In addition, of significance is the point Aldrich (1999) raises in connection with how social networks affect organizational emergence by structuring the context within which entrepreneurs must act. Aldrich suggests that disadvantaged network circumstances limit entrepreneurial possibilities for many people. For example, those entrepreneurs occupying advantageous social locations have access to emerging opportunities and critical resources, whereas those in impoverished locations must rely much more on their personal networking abilities.

Although these remarks refer to emerging organizations, there may be inferences to established organizations that may be tested. For example, in connection with the challenges of organizational transformation in emerging economies, it will be interesting to find out whether social networks are disadvantaged by virtue of their location. If so, what limitations are imposed on the ability to deal with the challenges?

How Organisations Change

Transformation refers to ‘periodic, discontinuous, metamorphic, or frame-braking changes in organizations to overcome inertia or stagnation and to enable better alignment with the environment’ (Ferreira, 1997). Ferreira’s definition implies that to qualify as transformations, changes have to include a qualitative break with routines and a shift to new kinds of competencies that challenge existing organizational knowledge.

Linking back to the definition of organizations by Aldrich (1999) adopted above, the ‘periodic, discontinuous, metamorphic, or frame-breaking changes’ may be considered as occurring in three dimensions. These are: changes in goals (in the domain claimed or in breadth of products and services), boundaries (expansion or contraction involving members or other organizations) and activities (administrative or human resource systems, or major technological innovations).

Two models have been chosen to highlight key features on empirical evidence on how organizations grow. The first is Aldrich’s (1999) variation-selection-retention model
and the second is Greiner’s (1998) evolution-revolution model. A critical analysis of these two approaches, among others, demonstrates that researchers no longer frame transformations as either-or issues but are considering the conditions under which change occurs from a both-and paradigm.

In this regard, Aldrich’s work gives fresh dimensions on what Greiner takes as the evolutionary phase of transformation, as will be summarized below.

The survey of change theories also includes an overview of change implementation strategies.

**Aldrich’s Contribution**

Aldrich (1999) argues that many empirical studies like McKelvey & Baum 1999, Weick 1969, McKelvey 1982, March 1981, and Campbell 1994 have contributed towards the evolutionary perspective. Aldrich (1999:21) singles out Campbell as the greatest influencer of his own thinking since Campbell was the first to note that “evolution results from the operation of four generic processes: variation, selection, retention and diffusion, and the struggle over scarce resources”.

These processes are presented in Exhibit 2, together with definitions and examples. Of significance is the point that variation is presented as either intentional or blind and selection as either external or internal.

It has to be noted that, as organizations change, variation, selection, retention and struggle occur simultaneously rather than sequentially. This is consistent with the observation attributed to Reynolds above where the founding of organizations is considered as complex and chaotic.

Aldrich (1999) argues that this evolutionary perspective is a substantive development from Darwin’s variation-selection-retention model. Aldrich justifies this position by pointing out that lucid explanations of evolutionary thinking have been provided by researchers like Boyd & Richerson 1985, Dawkins 1986, Depew & Weber 1995, and Dennett 1996.

Aldrich (1999:42) goes further to compare the evolutionary approach to other approaches and concludes, “the evolutionary approach is an overarching framework within which the value of other approaches can be recognized and appreciated”. Population ecology, institutional theory, the interpretive approach, organizational learning theory, resource dependence, and transaction cost economics are the six approaches that Aldrich chooses to reveal how the chosen perspectives deal with issues of variation, selection, retention and transformation.
### Exhibit 2: Evolutionary Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evolutionary Process</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Variation**        | Change from current routines and competencies; change in organization forms | • **Intentional**: occurs when people actively attempt to generate alternatives and seek solutions to problems.  
• **Blind**: occurs independently of environments or selection pressures. |
|                      | Within organizations: problemistic search.  
Between organizations: founding of new organization by outsiders to industry.  
Mistakes, misunderstandings, surprises, and idle curiosity. |
| **Selection**        | Differential elimination of certain types of variations | • **External selection**: Forces external to an organization that affect its routines and competencies.  
**Internal selection**: Forces internal to an organization that affect its routines and competencies. |
|                      | Market forces, competitive pressures, and conformity to institutionalised norms.  
Pressures toward stability and homogeneity, and the persistence of past selection criteria that are no longer relevant in a new environment. |
| **Retention**        | Selected variations are preserved, duplicated, or otherwise reproduced. | • Within organizations: specialization and standardization of roles that limit discretion.  
Between organizations: institutionalisation of practices in cultural beliefs and values. |
| **Struggle**         | Contest to obtain scarce resources because their supply is limited | Struggle over capital or legitimacy |

Source: Aldrich 1999:22

Exhibit 3 summarizes the relation of the six perspectives to evolutionary theory and positions evolutionary theory as providing an integrated understanding – not necessarily an integrated theory – of how organizations change.
### Exhibit 3: Six Perspectives on Organizations: Relation to evolutionary theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perspective</th>
<th>Variation, selection, and retention</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological</td>
<td>• Variation introduced via new organizations.</td>
<td>• Organizations are structurally inert and slow to change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Selection results from fit between organizations and environment.</td>
<td>• Selection and transformations are fundamentally related.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retention through external pressures and inertia.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>• Variation introduced from external origins, such as imitation.</td>
<td>• Organizations change when forced to do so.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Selection via conformity.</td>
<td>• Institutionalization makes many kinds of change unimaginable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retention through transmission of shared understandings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive</td>
<td>• Variation introduced as people negotiate meaning through interaction.</td>
<td>• Organizations are not very inert.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Selection via emergent understandings and compromise.</td>
<td>• Discontinuities are frequent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retention is problematic; depends on learning and sharing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Learning</td>
<td>• Variation introduced via problematic search or information discontinuities.</td>
<td>• Organizations are open to change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Selection results from fit to target aspiration level or existing organizational knowledge.</td>
<td>• Most change is incremental, rather than radical.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retention in programs, routines, and culture.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource dependence</td>
<td>• Variation introduced as managers try to avoid dependence.</td>
<td>• Organizations are strongly subject to external control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Selection via asymmetric power relations.</td>
<td>• But, managers are active agents in trying to control their environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retention a temporary result of coalitions and bargaining.</td>
<td>• Organizations are open to change in response to market conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction cost economics</td>
<td>• Variation introduced via intendedly rational action.</td>
<td>• But, transaction-specific investments limit adaptability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Selection involves actions to minimize transaction costs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Retention via transaction-specific investments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Aldrich 1999:44

Aldrich (1999) also proceeds to summarize some of the key contributions the six chosen perspectives make to the understanding of organization evolution (Exhibit 4).
Exhibit 4: Six Perspectives: Contributions to understanding organizational evolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perspective</th>
<th>Evolutionary implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological</td>
<td>• Emphasis on long-term volatility at population level: founding and disbandings,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Focused on building empirical generalizations through cumulative research and hypothesis testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>• Emphasis on the socially constructed nature of organizations and populations,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allows theorists to link events at multiple levels of analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive</td>
<td>• Allows room for the play of chance and creativity,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Treats people as active agents determining their own fate,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Emphasizes direct observation of social life in the field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Learning</td>
<td>• Builds explicit models of how environments affect organizations,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allows theorists to link multiple levels of analysis,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implicitly based on a variation-selection-retention model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource dependence</td>
<td>• Emphasizes strategies used by organizations to change their own environments,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allows theorists to link multiple levels of analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction cost economics</td>
<td>• Advocates the explicit statement of assumptions and propositions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Emphasizes examining the costs and benefits of alternative organizational arrangements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Aldrich, 1999:73)

It is evident that the evolutionary perspective is open to multiple approaches for explaining particular kinds of change.

The variation-selection-retention model (Exhibit 5) resulting from an appreciation of the evolutionary processes, highlighted in Exhibits 2 through 4 above, provides evolutionary explanations of transformation at the organization level.

There is an opportunity to assess whether any of these approaches have informed the transformation of any companies in emerging economies.
Exhibit 5: Variation-Selection-Retention Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evolutionary Process</th>
<th>Evolutionary Explanations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variation</strong></td>
<td>The greater the frequency of variations, the greater the opportunities for transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Level of variation dampened by internal selection criteria favoring inertia,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Level of variation increased by institutionalised experimentation, incentives to innovate, official tolerance of unfocused variation, and creative enactment of organizational practices, especially group-based action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selection</strong></td>
<td>Changes in selection criteria open avenues for new practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Internal selection criteria not linked to environmental fitness may be realigned,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- External discontinuities may trigger changes in selection pressures, such as changes in competitive conditions, government regulations, or technological breakthroughs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retention</strong></td>
<td>Transformations are completed when knowledge required for reproducing the new form is embodied in a community of practice. Retention by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Individuals and groups,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Structures, policies, and programs,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Networks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Aldrich, 1999:171

Aldrich (1999:196) also highlights that “when transformations occur within the life of organizations, observers naturally look to that organization’s unique history for explanation, examining its age, size, industry, and other distinctive characteristics”.

On the basis of this observation, a review of Greiner’s contribution to organizational change is warranted.

**Greiner’s Contribution**

Greiner (1998:55-68) extensively analyses contributions from empirical studies of what happens as organizations grow. A summary of his analysis is illustrated through three exhibits below.

The exhibits are based on the conclusion that, as organizations grow, a series of developmental phases can be identified. Each phase starts with a period of evolution characterized by steady growth and stability. This period then ends with a revolutionary period characterized by substantial organizational turmoil necessitating further change.

Greiner presents that empirical research on organizational development highlights five key dimensions: an organization’s size and age, its stages of evolution and revolution, and the growth rate of its industry.

Exhibit 6 illustrates how the five elements above interact as the organization develops.
Greiner suggests that management principles and problems are rooted in time and the age of the organization. Such passage of time contributes to the institutionalisation of managerial attitudes. As these attitudes become rigid and eventually outdated, employees’ behaviour becomes more predictable and more difficult to change.

With regards to organization size, an increase in the number of employees and sales volume has a direct relationship with the organization’s resultant problems and solutions. For example, such increases create problems of coordination and communication that may warrant changes in the organization’s structure.

As organizations grow in terms of both age and size, there is a period of prolonged growth, the evolutionary period, during which only modest adjustments appear. Aldrich’s (1999) analysis (above) of the complexities underlying what Greiner presents as ‘modest adjustments’ during the evolution of organizations is ample evidence that there is still much to learn about growth of organizations.

Greiner continues to highlight that the evolutionary periods are punctuated by periods of substantial turbulence exhibiting a serious upheaval of management practices. A typical example of such turbulence is the turnover rate of Fortune 500 companies in the last five decades.

The challenge for management during each revolutionary period is to create a new set of practices to catapult the organization into the next phase of evolution.
The fifth dimension, growth rate of industry, relates to the speed with which an organization moves across its evolutionary and revolutionary phases in response to the dictates of the market environment. The graph indicates that companies in higher growth industries experience having to go through steeper growth curves.

Any study examining the experiences of companies in emerging economies may find out whether the five dimensions apply.

Greiner’s analysis proceeds to examine five specific phases of evolution and revolution as illustrated in Exhibit 7.

**Exhibit 7: The Five Phases of Growth**

The exhibit shows that management adopt a dominant style during each evolutionary period in order to achieve growth. Similarly, management have to resolve a specific dominant problem related to each revolutionary period in order for the next evolutionary period to set in.

Exhibit 7 links back to Exhibit 6 in that management in companies in faster-growing industries tend to experience the phases more rapidly as compared to those in slower-growing industries.

Also critical to note is that each phase is not only a result of the previous phase but also the cause for the next. For example, Phase 2 is a result of the establishment of directive techniques to channel the energy of employees for sustained growth. This is
an outcome of the leadership crisis that terminates individualistic management style dominant in Phase 1. At the same time, such directive style results in the autonomy crisis heralding the need to move into Phase 3.

The rest of the organizational practices in the five phases are summarized in Exhibit 8.

**Exhibit 8: Organizational Practices in the Five Phases of Growth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>PHASE 1</th>
<th>PHASE 2</th>
<th>PHASE 3</th>
<th>PHASE 4</th>
<th>PHASE 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management Focus</td>
<td>Make and sell</td>
<td>Efficiency of operations</td>
<td>Expansion of markets</td>
<td>Consolidation of organization</td>
<td>Problem solving and innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Structure</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Centralized and functional</td>
<td>Decentralized and geographical</td>
<td>Line staff and product groups</td>
<td>Matrix of teams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-Management Style</td>
<td>Individualistic and entrepreneurial</td>
<td>Directive</td>
<td>Delegative</td>
<td>Watchdog</td>
<td>Participative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control System</td>
<td>Market results</td>
<td>Standards and cost centres</td>
<td>Reports and profit centres</td>
<td>Plans and investment centres</td>
<td>Mutual goal setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Reward Emphasis</td>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>Salary and merit increases</td>
<td>Individual bonus</td>
<td>Profit sharing and stock options</td>
<td>Team bonus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Greiner 1998:66

Greiner (1995:65) admits that ‘A sixth phase may be evolving in which growth depends on the design of extra-organizational solutions, such as creating a holding company or a network organization composed of alliances and cross-ownership.’

Researchers should investigate whether, in fact, any of companies have experienced these phases and also seek to find out if at all any companies are seeking opportunities to go beyond Phase 5.

**Change Theory Implementation Strategies**

Beer & Nohria (2000:133-141) indicate that their study of corporate change over more than four decades suggests there are two archetypes, or theories, of why and how change should be made.

The proposition that shareholder value is the only legitimate measure of corporate success has resulted in the adoption of Theory E. Such an adoption usually involves heavy use of economic incentives, drastic layoffs, downsizing, and restructuring.

On the other hand, when the organization’s ability to learn from its experiences is taken as a legitimate yardstick of corporate success, Theory O is adopted. Theory O change strategies aim to build corporate culture with special focus on employee behaviours, attitudes, and commitment.
Exhibit 9 compares and contrasts these theories along key dimensions of goals, leadership, focus, process, reward system, and use of consultants.

The exhibit also reflects how the two may be combined.

Beer & Nohria suggest the combination after investigating the impact of adopting almost pure forms of each archetype in the paper industry. The two researchers report that Scott Paper’s CEO trebled shareholder returns in 1994 but failed to build the capabilities needed for sustained competitive advantage through the adoption of Theory E. Employee commitment, coordination, communication and creativity were low. The result was that Scott Paper had to be sold to its competitor Kimberly-Clark in 1995.

Exhibit 9: A Comparison of Theories of Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Theory E</th>
<th>Theory O</th>
<th>Theory E and O Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goals</td>
<td>Maximize shareholder value</td>
<td>Develop organizational capabilities</td>
<td>Explicitly embrace the paradox between economic value and organizational capability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Manage change from the top down</td>
<td>Encourage participation from the bottom up</td>
<td>Set direction from the top and engage the people below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus</td>
<td>Emphasize structure and systems</td>
<td>Build up corporate culture: employees’ behaviour and attitudes</td>
<td>Focus simultaneously on the hard (structures and systems) and the soft (corporate culture)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Plan and establish programs</td>
<td>Experiment and evolve</td>
<td>Plan for spontaneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward System</td>
<td>Motivate through financial incentives</td>
<td>Motivate through commitment - use pay as fair exchange</td>
<td>Use incentives to reinforce change but not to drive it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Consultants</td>
<td>Consultants analyze problems and shape solutions</td>
<td>Consultants support management in shaping their own solutions</td>
<td>Consultants are expert resources who empower employees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Beer & Nohria 2000:137

By embracing Theory O, Champion International CEO improved the loyalty and commitment between the company and its employees. The result was that, although the company became one of the leaders in the industry on most performance measures in 1997, Champion shareholders had not seen a significant increase in the economic value of the company for over a decade. Consequently, Champion was acquired by Finland-based UPM-Kymmene for a mere 1.5 times its original share value.

Drawing from the two cases, as examples, Beer & Nohria (2000) suggest that radical change in business should combine, in a relevant manner, the ‘hard’ and ‘soft’ instead of approaching radical changes as an *either-or* proposition.
The other organisational cases considered below also illustrate how the two archetypes may be combined. The General Electric case illustrates the sequential combination of Theory E and Theory O whereas the Mount Carmel case illustrates a concurrent combination.

Further, given the theory on how organizations emerge and grow, it is necessary to consider a selection of transformational models that organizations have attempted to apply and link back to the two theories. Such an analysis provides a lens through which to critically view the initiatives the companies have experienced.

A Survey of Transformation Models

For the purposes of this study, six transformational models have been selected. These are hereunder referred to as: The Kurt Lewin Model of Change, The Tichy and Sherman Model of Change, The Kotter Model of Change, The Spector Model of Change, The Secretan Values-Centred Model of Change, and the Mbigi Ubuntu Transformation Model. Such reference is meant to recognize and acknowledge the developers of the models.

The survey of transformational models also includes an overview of transformational techniques and organisational cases.

The Kurt Lewin-based Models

From the selected models, the Kurt Lewin model is the earliest. It appears this model has been the basis on which the Tichy and Sherman, the Kotter and the Spector models have been constructed.

The Kurt Lewin Model of Change, illustrated in Exhibit 10, has three phases: Unfreezing, Moving and Refreezing.

Unfreezing involves raising awareness of the inadequacy of the current conditions and reducing resistance to desired change. Resistance to change may be minimized through altering existing attitudes and behaviours.

Moving involves making the change by letting go of old ways of doing things and adopting new behaviours.

The third phase of this model, Refreezing, involves reinforcing the changes made in order to stabilize the new behaviours. The intention of this phase is to cause desired attitudes and behaviours to be a natural and self-reinforcing pattern.
Exhibit 10: The Kurt Lewin Model of Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current State</th>
<th>Transitional State</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Existing roles and structures.</td>
<td>• Letting go of old work.</td>
<td>• New roles and structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comfortable, familiar, and secure.</td>
<td>• Taking on new work.</td>
<td>• New work and routines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Controllable, certain, and proven.</td>
<td>• Changing tasks, routines, demands and relationships.</td>
<td>• Unfamiliar and risky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Creating a felt need for change.</td>
<td>• Coping with the loss.</td>
<td>• Reinforcing outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Managing resistance to change.</td>
<td>• Changing people; and groups; tasks; structure; technology.</td>
<td>• Evaluating results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unfreezing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Moving</strong></td>
<td><strong>Refreezing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current State</strong></td>
<td><strong>Transitional State</strong></td>
<td><strong>New State</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Existing roles and structures.</td>
<td>• Letting go of old work.</td>
<td>• New roles and structures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comfortable, familiar, and secure.</td>
<td>• Taking on new work.</td>
<td>• New work and routines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Controllable, certain, and proven.</td>
<td>• Changing tasks, routines, demands and relationships.</td>
<td>• Unfamiliar and risky.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Creating a felt need for change.</td>
<td>• Coping with the loss.</td>
<td>• Reinforcing outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Managing resistance to change.</td>
<td>• Changing people; and groups; tasks; structure; technology.</td>
<td>• Evaluating results.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Cook, Hunsaker & Coffer 1997:542

Comparatively, the Tichy and Sherman model, illustrated in Exhibit 11, also has three phases. What is interesting is that Tichy and Sherman have chosen to construct the model using a drama metaphor. Organizational transformation, in this model, is exemplified as a drama where ideas, dialogue and actions flow among the cast in three acts: awakening, envisioning and rearchitecturing.

Of importance is that the model portrays the drama playing out at organizational and individual levels. For example, while the organization is awakening to new challenges in Act I, the individual is grappling with loss in the same Act.

This Act I (Awakening) in the Tichy and Sherman model closely matches Kurt Lewin’s first phase (Unfreezing). Both activities require employees to untangle their old loyalties and relationships with that which has to end.

Act II (Envisioning) is similar to Kurt Lewin’s ‘Moving’ as both relate to some defined transition stage. During this stage, employees must be given time to gain perspective on both the endings of one era (at both organizational and individual levels) and the new beginnings of another era.

On completing the transition stage, employees need to be prepared for the frustration that accompanies failure as they replace old mastered routines with new ones. Again, therein lies the similarity between Tichy and Sherman’s ‘Rearchitecturing’ and Kurt Lewin’s ‘Refreezing’.
Exhibit 11: Tichy & Sherman’s Model of Change

Comparing and contrasting the Kotter Model, illustrated in Exhibit 12, with either the Kurt Lewin or Tichy and Sherman models, reveals that the eight stages in Kotter’s model may be clustered into three broad categories.

Clearly, what Kotter calls ‘Establishing a Sense of Urgency’ aligns with either ‘Unfreezing’ or ‘Awakening’ since it deals with creating a need for change.

Essentially, ‘Creating the Guiding Coalition’, ‘Developing a Vision and Strategy’, ‘Communicating the Change Vision’, and ‘Empowering Broad-based Action’ express mobilization to emotionally commit to a desired future. Thus, Kotter’s stages 2 through 5, may be collapsed into either ‘Moving’ or ‘Envisioning’.

To all intents and purposes, Kotter’s stage 6 (Generating Short-term Wins) is the beginning of redirecting the emotional energy at both organizational and individual levels to anchor new approaches. Therefore, this stage may be taken as the initial stage of either ‘Refreezing’ or ‘Rearchitecturing’. From this premise, Kotter’s stages 6 through 8 may be considered as a reflection of either Kurt Lewin’s third phase or Tichy and Sherman’s Act III.

Source: Tichy & Sherman 1993:305
### Exhibit 12: The Kotter Model of Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1. ESTABLISHING A SENSE OF URGENCY** | • Examining the market and competitive realities;  
  • Identifying and discussing crises, potential crises, or major opportunities. |
| **2. CREATING THE GUIDING COALITION** | • Putting together a group with enough power to lead the change;  
  • Getting the group to work together like a team. |
| **3. DEVELOPING A VISION AND STRATEGY** | • Creating a vision to help direct the change effort;  
  • Developing strategies for achieving that vision. |
| **4. COMMUNICATING THE CHANGE VISION** | • Using every vehicle possible to constantly communicate the new vision and strategies;  
  • Having the guiding coalition role model the behaviour expected of employees. |
| **5. EMPOWERING BROAD-BASED ACTION** | • Getting rid of obstacles;  
  • Changing systems or structures that undermine the change vision;  
  • Encouraging risk and non-traditional ideas, activities, and actions. |
| **6. GENERATING SHORT-TERM WINS** | • Planning for visible improvements in performance, or ‘wins’;  
  • Creating those wins;  
  • Visibly recognizing and rewarding people who made the wins possible. |
| **7. CONSOLIDATING GAINS AND PRODUCING MORE CHANGE** | • Using increased credibility to change all systems, structures, and policies that don’t fit together and that don’t fit the organization vision;  
  • Hiring, promoting, and developing people who can implement the change vision;  
  • Reinvigorating the process with new projects, themes, and change agents. |
| **8. ANCHORING NEW APPROACHES IN THE NEW CULTURE** | • Creating better performance through customer- and productivity-oriented behaviour, more and better leadership, and more effective management;  
  • Articulating the connections between new behaviours and organizational success;  
  • Developing means to ensure leadership development and succession. |

**Source:** Kotter 1996:21

Spector (1995:382-389) developed a model of change, illustrated in Exhibit 13 and referred to it as ‘A Sequential Model for Corporate Revitilization’. The model is designed on the basis of three organizational concepts: customer alignment, sequencing and learning.

Customer alignment involves looking at all transformation efforts as starting from an understanding of how customers define the value of the services and/or products offered by an organization. From this perspective, whatever else follows is only an alignment of internal processes with external contingencies.
Exhibit 13: The Spector Model of Transformation

Source: Spector 1995:382-389

Spector argues that there is need to understand the sequencing of events during and after any transformation effort. This understanding is followed by the third organizing concept, learning. Such learning involves sequencing interventions that maximize the ability of the organization to learn from its customers and the marketplace, and from itself.

An analysis of each of the phases of the model reveals that even this model has its roots in the Kurt Lewin model.

Essentially, Spector’s Phase 1 (Shifting Marketplace Demands) is comparable to ‘Unfreezing’. Shifting marketplace demands is all about market-driven dissatisfaction with the status quo that can lead to transformation. Spector highlights that there is need to deal with an important blocker called defensiveness. The defensiveness is rooted in an organization’s managers becoming used to certain ways of doing things. Defensiveness, then, reflects a way of resisting change that characterizes what has to be dealt with in ‘Unfreezing’.

Phase 2 relates to the translation of competitive pressures from the environment into an explicit demand for fundamental internal realignment. Such internal realignment enables innovation and experimentation that is focused on enhancing value from the perspective of the customer.

For all intents and purposes, Phase 2 through 5 constitute Kert Lewin’s ‘Moving’. Phase 2 marks the beginning of ‘Moving’ since it is the energy prompted by the vision that triggers the start of the transition stage. The shared commitment and responsibility implicit in Phase 3 confirms that this stage is still part of “Moving”. ‘Moving’ also extends into Spector’s Phases 4 and 5 which involve the extension of
innovation and learning to all levels of the organization and subsequent diffusion of such innovation and learning.

The learning that evolves across Spector’s first five phases gets anchored during Phase 6. In the Spector model Phase 6, formal information and measurement systems, in particular, serve to reinforce new patterns of behaviour and interaction and so provide a more permanent foundation for the transforming organization. Phase 6 is, therefore, similar to what Kurt Lewin presents as ‘Refreezing’.

The above discussion highlights that in the field of transformational models, there are a number of models that are amplifications of the Kurt Lewin model.

A synthetic conceptual framework of the Lewin-based models as analysed above is reflected in Figure 2.

**Figure 2: A Synthesis of Kurt Lewin-based Change Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kurt Lewin</td>
<td>Unfreezing</td>
<td>Moving</td>
<td>Refreezing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tichy &amp; Sherman</td>
<td>Awakening</td>
<td>Envisioning</td>
<td>Rearchitecturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kotter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Sense of urgency</td>
<td>● Guiding coalition</td>
<td>● Short-term wins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Vision and strategy</td>
<td>● Consolidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Communicating vision</td>
<td>● Anchoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Empowerment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spector</td>
<td>● Shifting market place demands</td>
<td>● Leadership combining vision</td>
<td>● Systems and structural realignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Shared diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Experimentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Orchestration and diffusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The question to address is whether or not any company in emerging nations such as Zimbabwe has applied any of these Kurt Lewin – based models. If any has, what success stories have been registered?
The Soul/Spirit-based Models

Two soul/spirit-based models (the Secretan and Mbigi models) have been selected for this study. I have called them soul/spirit-based as the emphasis is on honouring the sacredness of humans.

The Secretan Values-Centred Model, illustrated in Exhibit 14, conceives an organization as a bicycle with the back wheel providing the power and the front wheel providing the direction.

Exhibit 14: The Secretan Values-Centred Model of Change

Source: Secretan 1997:45

From the back wheel are derived the life skill values (Mastery, Chemistry, and Delivery) that are the source of personal and organizational power. These values are called Primary Values and they help kick-start personal growth and change corporate culture.

According to Secretan, the Primary Values are defined as follows:

(a) Mastery means undertaking whatever one does to the highest standards of which one is capable;

(b) Chemistry means the capability of a person to relate so well with other people to an extent that those people actively seek to associate themselves with the person; and

(c) Delivery refers to identifying the needs of others, meeting those needs and a passion for being of service.
According to this model, becoming proficient in *Mastery, Chemistry* and *Delivery* depends on the adoption of three *Accelerators* that drive these Primary Values. The accelerators are *Learning, Empathizing* and *Listening*.

Secretan also defines each of the *Accelerators* and what it drives as follows:

(a) *Learning* means seeking and practicing knowledge and wisdom. *Learning* drives *Mastery*.

(b) *Empathizing* is considering the thoughts, feelings and perspectives of others. *Empathy* drives *Chemistry*.

(c) *Listening* refers to hearing and understanding the communications of others. *Listening* drives *Delivery*.

Whereas the back wheel provides the power, the front wheel contains values that give the direction. This direction provides the shifts from "old" values to new ones.

The five *‘Values Shifts’* the model reflects as critical for organizational change are summarized in line with Secretan (1997):

(a) *You Before Me*. A shift ‘from me to you’ offers a change of focus from increasing market share, sales, cash flow, or power to being of service to others and the planet.

(b) *People Before Things*. Secretan contends that the genius of Western management has been an unsurpassed ability to acquire, measure, analyze and count things and that, in doing so, organizations have forgotten that organizations are the sum of *people*, not of things. The *people* approach recognizes the universal desire of people to be trusted, respected and loved.

(c) *Kaizen and Breakthrough*. Under this shift, Secretan argues that creativity nourishes the soul and there are two ways to grow: through innovation and breakthrough (doing things differently) and *kaizen* (doing the same things better). This subtle difference propels transforming organizations into a class of their own.

(d) *Strengths Before Weaknesses*. Secretan points out researchers claim that during an average business meeting each idea proposed receives nine criticisms. Self-esteem is sucked from the souls of individuals, and therefore organizations by criticizing, judging, and jeering. There is need to celebrate strengths or to study and perfect successes.

(e) *Love Before Competition, Hostility and Fear*. Winning has come to mean defeating one’s opponent - it seems there must always be a loser. Management vocabulary is laced with the metaphors of war and competition like ‘beat/eliminate/kill the competition’. Secretan argues that what are considered competitors are neighbours and colleagues since life is not a battleground – BUT a playground.

I have applied the Secretan model with remarkable success in the African environment.

Mbigi (1997:113) argues “In transitional societies where issues of governance and redistribution have not been resolved, the political reform of organizations has to be tackled simultaneously with issues of reform and efficiency”. Concluding that this
emphasis on political reform marks the difference between the Afrocentric approach and the global approach to organizational transformation, Mbigi proceeds to suggest the Ubuntu Transformation Model, Exhibit 15.

Exhibit 15: The Mbigi Ubuntu Transformation Model

According to this model, successful organizational transformation involves three key activities: capacity building, work transformation, and political and social transformation. At the heart of this model is the need to achieve creative high performance and competitive alignment.

Capacity building aims at increasing the capability of a worker to understand survival challenges at five levels: the global perspective, the national perspective, the industry perspective, the organization perspective, and the personal perspective. This process emphasizes the shifting of mindsets around governance and public accountability through participative co-creation of new paradigms.

Work transformation emphasizes the management of work, product development and technology.

Political and social transformation involves the creation of inclusive practices focusing on issues of governance, distribution, economic, justice and fairness. It is this aspect of the transformation model that is value laden and is critical for the creation of the legitimacy of the change effort on the other dimensions of work transformation and capacity building.
This model is a typical integration of Theory E and Theory O discussed above. A critical assessment of the three elements (capacity building, work transformation, and social and political transformation) gives the impression that Mbigi’s model combines the two theories with a heavy slant towards Theory O.

**A Survey of Transformation Techniques**

The most relevant model under which to survey transformational techniques is Mbigi’s. This is because Mbigi has one of his transformation pillars as ‘Work reform’.

Work reform centres around the management of work and the continuous improvement and intervention of work methods. The focus is the management of value-adding processes.

Transformational techniques that have been used in business operations include Statistical Process Control (SPC), Just-in-time manufacturing (JIT), Total Quality Management (TQM), (Group Technology) (GT)/(Optimum Production Techniques) (OPT), Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) and Core Competencies. Key features of these techniques will be discussed below.

Exhibit 16 summarizes the generic best operating practices and their appropriate operations environments, structures, and implementation methodologies as conceived by Derek van de Riet of Competitive Capabilities Africa (Mbigi, 1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique(s)</th>
<th>Industry Type</th>
<th>Aimed at improving</th>
<th>Organisational structures – Team-based changes</th>
<th>Implementation Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPC</td>
<td>Assembly</td>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Quality Circles</td>
<td>Breakthrough Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIT</td>
<td>Assembly</td>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Autonomous Work groups</td>
<td>Breakthrough Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TQM</td>
<td>Assembly</td>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Autonomous Work Groups</td>
<td>Breakthrough Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT/OPT</td>
<td>Job shops</td>
<td>Lead Time</td>
<td>Cellular manufacturing</td>
<td>Pilot Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPM</td>
<td>Continuous Process</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Multi-disciplinary teams</td>
<td>Pilot Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Competencies</td>
<td>All industry Types</td>
<td>Focus on order winners</td>
<td>Cross-functional teams</td>
<td>Business Process Reengineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Derek van der Riet, quoted in Mbigi 1997:117

Melnyk & Denzler (1996:386) define just-in-time manufacturing (JIT) as “an organization wide quest to produce output within the minimum possible lead time and
at the lowest possible total cost by continuously identifying and eliminating all forms of waste and variance”.

This definition reflects that JIT requires a broad reorganisation of operations to evolve a vital transformation system embodying the notions of value and waste control throughout its design, structure and maintenance. The full implementation of JIT produces a complex and interlinked web of activities emphasizing continuous improvement.

In this regard, employees in JIT environments need to apply analytical tools to monitor process performance and evaluate their control of the process. Herein comes the relevance of SPC. SPC is a technique whereby a series of readings are taken and plotted on a graph to see whether the process is still centred and whether the variation is normal. All assignable problems are identified proactively and eliminated to keep the process under control. In essence, SPC tells an employee about three things: where the employee is doing something that should not be done, when the employee is not doing what should be done, and when the employee is doing the right thing.

The third technique, TQM, infuses quality principles into every company principle. It breeds a culture of total commitment to quality and an attitude expressed by everyone’s involvement in the process of continuous improvement of products and services through the use of innovative scientific methods.

GT is “an equipment layout dedicated to the complete production of a family of similar parts by linking together all operations in a particular process” (Melnyk & Denzler, 1996:415). Typically, the layout forms a U-shaped sequence that allows the operator, from a position in the middle, to perform all operations required to build each unit output. This often sharply reduces lead-time.

Melynk & Denzler (1996:885) consider OPT to be “a complete information system for production planning and control through which operations managers create detailed schedule for the transformation process”. OPT procedures embody the theory of constraints helping users focus on bottleneck resources.

TPM is a JIT method designed to identify and attack all causes of equipment breakdowns and system downtime.

According to Melynk & Denzler (1996), a core competency is a firm’s uniquely effective business process on which its strategic success depends. These two authors suggest that firms can identify core competencies around skills or knowledge sets, value for targeted customers, resistance to duplication, focus on a few core competencies, extending skills to new markets and dynamic skills.

Having highlighted the features of the identified transformational techniques, it is necessary to reflect how to apply them in an African context.

Mbigi (2000) suggests that work reform in Africa be done in cross-functional teams, multi-disciplinary teams, and shop-floor teams. When the focus is on shop-floor teams, it soon dawns on transformation champions in Africa that managing these
techniques needs to consider the cultural endowments. This is more so since most shop-floor workers have limited schooling. The emphasis should, therefore, be on oral and visual communication. This approach would demystify the techniques, measurements, language, concepts and practices, making the techniques understandable to all workers.

The multi-disciplinary teams, consisting of managers of key disciplines should support shop-floor teams in their efforts to achieve the market agenda of cost reduction, flexibility, service, waste reduction and equipment availability.

In Africa, the cross-functional teams often show up as executive management meetings attended by top functional leaders. Their role should be to mobilise resources, set performance, and support the effort by the other teams.

Studies should be conducted to establish whether companies in emerging nations have applied any of these techniques, with what kind of results, and whether oral and visual communication have been used at shop-floor level.

**Summary findings**

Jones & Blunt (1993) warn researchers that Western approaches to Organisational Development (OD) tend to reflect their cultural milieu and may contain elements that are irrelevant or inimical to African contexts. The two researchers suggest that there is a need to develop concepts and approaches to OD and change that are effective and sensitive to African contexts.

As far as Zimbabwe is concerned, only one study, by Posthuma in 1995, examining the process of organisational restructuring in a sample of six Zimbabwean companies, was cited. These companies introduced a package of Japanese techniques, including total quality control, just-in-time, and cellular manufacturing. The findings highlight that organisational reform should be treated not only as a set of technical solutions but also as a process which involves social innovations.

The introduced techniques were found to yield rapid and tangible improvements in production indicators. However, those companies that lacked participation of upper management and had inadequate policies for human resources development and training had difficulties sustaining and advancing these improvements over time.

The cited study is limited to the usefulness of Japanese techniques in Zimbabwe. It does not look at the relevance of existing theories and models.
From the literature search, there are four broad revelations:

- There is a knowledge gap in terms of the applicability of existing change theories in non-Western, emerging economies;
- The transformation theory arena has been driven by case studies;
- Change theories have tended to be prescriptive, with very little, if any, empirical testing; and
- There has been no change theory cited which is a prescription for emerging economies.
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